

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,944	01/30/2001	Justin Broughton	004919.P001	5527
28960	7590 03/23/2006		EXAMINER	
HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP			WINDER, PATRICE L	
162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2145	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	/
h	6

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/774,944	BROUGHTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Patrice Winder	2145				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ja</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-32 and 45-60 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-30-06, 2-21-06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/774,944

Art Unit: 2145

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 33-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dilip et al., USPN 6,704,409 B1 (hereafter referred to as Dilip).
- 3. Regarding claim 41, Dilip taught a computer system (transaction processing system) comprising:

a processing unit and a memory coupled to the processing unit through a bus (column 14, line 59 – column 15, line 7); and

a service tiering process executed from the memory to cause the processing unit to determine an initial service tier for a contact in a digital multimedia contact center based on routing criteria (column 9, lines 48-54),

to de-escalate the contact to a lower service tier if a change in the routing criteria does not satisfy pre-defined criteria for the initial service tier (column 11, lines 52-58); and

to escalate the contact to a higher service tier if a change in the routing criteria satisfies predefined criteria for the higher service tier (column 12, lines 20-38).

Application/Control Number: 09/774,944 Page 3

Art Unit: 2145

4. Regarding dependent claim 42, Dilip taught the service tiering processing further causes the processing unit initially to determine the routing criteria based on media type associated with the contact (column 11, lines 37-42).

- 5. Regarding dependent claim 43, Dilip taught the service tiering process further causes the processing unit to change the routing criteria through execution of a workflow for the contact (column 11, lines 52-58).
- 6. Regarding dependent claim 44, Dilip taught the service tiering process further causes the processing unit to change the routing criteria based on contact activity.
- 7. The language of claims 33-40 is substantially the same as previously rejected claims 41-44. Therefore, claims 33-40 are rejected on the same rationale as previously rejected claims 41-44, above.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 33-44 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrice Winder whose telephone number is 571-272-3935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 am-7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on 571-272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2145

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Patrice Winder Primary Examiner Art Unit 2145

March 20, 2006